F	Į.	-	E	D
00	T /	* 4	18/10	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

1 1

001 2 1 1981

BALLY MANUFACTURING 3 CORPORATION,

H. Strart Cunningham, Clerk United States District Court Civil Action 78 C 2246

Plaintiff

VS.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

D. GOTTLIEB & CO., WILLIAMS ELECTRONICS, INC., AND ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Defendants

GREGORY COX Deposition of :

1

Defendants Taken By

Laurie V. Blackmon Before

September 11, 1981, 9:30 a.m. Date

Miller, Kistler & Campbell, Inc. Place 1500 South Atherton Street State College, Pennsylvania

COUNSEL PRESENT:

DONALD L. WELSH, Esquire A. SIDNEY KATZ, Esquire

For - Plaintiff

MELVIN M. GOLDENBERG, Esquire

For - Williams Electronics, Inc.

SYDNEY M. LEACH, Esquire

For - D. Gottlieb & Co. and Rockwell International Corporation

BENJAMIN NOVAK, Esquire

For - Gregory Cox

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109



INSTRUCTIONS TO DEPONENT FOR READING AND SIGNING DEPOSITION

By law you are entitled to read, list changes and your reasons therefore, and sign your deposition.

Do not deface the deposition by making changes on the deposition. Changes in form or substance must be listed below by page and line number, the change, and your reason therefore.
Sign your name on the line below and return this form (and any

additional sheets) to the address below.

This is a legal document and must be returned for filing along with the original transcript of your deposition within 30 days. If not returned, or returned unsigned, the deposition will be filed stating the reason(s) for noncompliance.

If you have any questions, you may call your attorney, or call

Geiger & Loria Reporting Service.

CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT

Baily vs	。D.	Gottlieb,	et	al,	U.S.	District	Court,	No.	78	C	2246
Caption a	nd	Venue									

September 11, 1981 Gregory Cox Date of Deposition Name of Deponent

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have read the foregoing described deposition and that to the best of my knowledge it is true and accurate (with the exception of the following changes:)

PAGE	LINE	CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
7	5.	MR. LEACH'S. TO MR. KATZ'S INCORPECT RECKLE OF MR.
	w	KATZ'S NAME
27	24	LDE'S to LOD'S. 1 TYPO
(0)	21	Edilit 21 to Edilita Incorrect reference to exhibit
170	19	TENTHS TO TENS TRANSCRIPTION EXPOR
170	20	HUNDREDTHS TO TUNDREDS "
170	20	THOUSAND THS TO THOUSANDS
175	11	TENTHS TO TENS
175	13	SUNDRETAS TO HUNDREDS "
175	15	THOUSANTHS TO THOUSANDS "
176	1	SELF-WORD TO SOFTWARE , " "
0	Tet.	12 1981 Sugary Cox
Date		Signature of Deponent

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA.

717/234-2109

I hereby certify the above signature was placed in my presence on October 12, 1981. I am a duly authorized Notary Public in Dauphin County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. THON MIL THUCK! usan M. Simon

176 183 186 188 189 191 191 193	23 13 9 21 4 9 24 7	FOR TO OR SELF-WORD TO SOFTWARE PULLED TO POLLED 235 to? LIGHT TO PLAY FLOAT TO FLOW """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	TRANSCRIPTION (1)	EROR " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
193	17	11 11 11	0 "11	1 ,"
-194	#	THE TO A	annot be cer	lang that
· Make the first teaching to the first teaching			list page of expe	hit has
			my relationship	to Office
			doftware.	
198	13	IN TO AND	TRANSERIFTON	ERROR
205	24	METRICS TO MATRIX	11	11
206	7	11. " "	11	11
206	.11	11 11 11	11	4
206	22	<i>u u u</i> .	(1	(/
207	6	11 11	11) i
207	14	fe es el	"	<i>(</i>)
207	21	<i>(</i>) <i>(</i>) <i>(</i>	(1	K
208	i	tt a t	11	.1
208	4	VECTR to vector	′1	"
209	5	7 11 11	n	11
208	6	METRICS TO MATRIX	4	1/
371	2	INTERPRETING TO INTERFAC	1NG 11	4
221	20	METRICS TO NATRIX	N.	ч
237	7	Joist To Jox) [(/
256	7	INTELLEC TO INTEL	((
278	19	FLOAT TO FLOW	11	(r

I hereby certify the following signature was placed in my presence on October 12, 1981. I am a duly authorized Notary Public in Dauphin County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Oct 12, 198/

to gray lox

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

16

19

18

20 21

22

23

25

24

This deposition is taken pursuant to the MR. LEACH: Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. There are no stipulations other than the witness may sign the transcript before any notary public, if that is agreeable?

MR. KATZ: Yes, it is.

Is that agreeable to you, Mr. Novak? MR. LEACH:

I would just state by way of introduction MR. NOVAK: I am completely new to this case, and I have never seen any of the pleadings or anything. But I understand that Mr. Cox is here to be interrogated regarding his affidavit and declaration. that basically the framework? I am just wondering from his point That is what I understand to be basically what he is here as a witness for.

MR. LEACH: I think that is essentially correct although I am not limiting ourselves to those areas. If necessary we are going to inquire into anything that may be relevant to the subject matter proceedings.

MR. KATZ: We take the position on behalf of the plaintiff that the purpose of reopening the discovery for the purpose of taking this deposition was based on the declaration and affidavit as was represented to Judge Grady.

We will assert and it is our position that the deposition should be limited to the subject matter of the affidavit and declaration.

MR. GOLDENBERG: As the one that made the motion to

have the discovery reopened for Judge Grady, I said nothing which so limited the scope of the deposition, the subject matter of this litigation.

And whatever knowledge this witness has, I think we are entitled to have it.

MR. KATZ: I refer Mr. Goldenberg to the decision and petition which was dated September 4, 1981.

MR. GOLDENBERG: You may refer to that all you will.

This is a deposition taken pursuant to leave granted by

Judge Grady in the Northern District of Illinois.

The patent office may have its own thoughts about the scope, but that is in no way binding on us.

And speaking for myself -- Mr. Leach can take his own position on behalf of his clients -- we will examine about what we think appropriate in all aspects of the case that this witness did conceivably have knowledge.

MR. KATZ: We stated our position. Perhaps it would be premature to argue at this point. Let's proceed and we will take up any specific questions if they arise.

MR. LEACH: If you feel it is necessary to move for a protective order --

MR. KATZ: Yes, but I don't think we will be able to adjourn this deposition readily unless you are agreed to it and go back to court and get a protective order and then come back and continue the deposition. So I think it would be most

```
expeditious to handle it here. We can resolve it among ourselves.
1
         MR. GOLDENBERG: I think we all stated our positions.
2
         GREGORY EARL COX, called as a witness, being sworn,
3
  testified as follows:
4
                  DIRECT EXAMINATION
5
  BY MR. LEACH:
6
         Will you please state your full name for the record.
      Q
7
          Gregory Earl Cox.
      A
8
          Where do you live?
      Q
          683 Berkshire Drive, State College, Pennsylvania.
      A
10
          Who are you employed by?
      Q
11
      A H.R.B. Singer.
12
          What is the address of your employer?
      Q
13
          Science Park Road. State College, Pennsylvania.
14
          Were you or your attorney served with a subpoena in
15
  connection with this deposition?
16
          Yes.
17
      A
18
      Q Would you recognize a copy of that subpoena if you
   were shown it?
19
20
          Yes.
21
      Q
          Is this a copy of the subpoena that you were served
   with?
22
          A - Marie Would be the first
23
      A
          Yes.
 24
     (Subpoena marked Greg Cox Exhibit 1.)
   BY MR. LEACH:
 25
```

GEIGER'S LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

I see you have some documents with you. Did you bring a copy of the subpoena with you that you were served with?

Yes, I did.

May I see it, please. Q

(Subpoena marked Greg Cox Exhibit 2.)

These are two separate subpoenas. What you have there is the first subpoena. BY MR. LEACH:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q And when you say "there," are you referring to Greg Cox Exhibit No. 2?

A Yes. The date of the deposition was delayed. And this is the second subpoena.

MR. NOVAK: This is the 1st of September. They are both the original subpoenas apparently. You have never seen these to my knowledge.

A That's right.

MR. NOVAK: So the subpoena you were just referring to, the two are his copy. And the one you received was the subpoena for the September 1st hearing, which was postponed by agreement of counsel.

The other subpoena he has not seen because I agreed to accept service on his behalf with Mr. Williams.

MR. LEACH: Then, Mr. Novak, do you have a second subpoena that was served upon the witness?

(Subpoena marked Greg Cox Exhibit 3.)

MR. KATZ: Can we have an understanding, Mr. Leach, that we will receive prompt copies of the exhibits that you mark here today, including the subpoenas.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. KATZ: Pursuant to an agreement between counsel, each party will retain the originals of the documents that are marked and provide copies to the other parties. Hopefully we will be able to do that today so that we will have them when we leave, viewing the time situation.

MR. LEACH: I also have a notice of deposition I would like to have marked.

(Notice of Deposition marked Greg Cox Exhibit 4.)
BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Mr. Cox, did you bring some documents with you today in response to the subpoena that was served upon you?
 - A Yes.
 - Q May I see those documents?
- A I have a copy of the affidavit and declaration which you have seen and is referenced in the deposition.

I have copies of the software documentation for the El Toro game.

I have a personal copy of the programmer's manual from the Intellec MCS4 system.

I have a copy of a schematic from the El Toro interface hardware.

And I have some notes generated during an analysis and evaluation of the El Toro software.

I have a large photograph copy of the El Toro schematic.

And I have a few hand-written notes relating to conversations with various representatives of Mr. Leach's firm.

MR. GOLDENBERG: May we see those, please.

Those relate to conversations with Mr. Schnayer.

BY MR. LEACH:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q When you say "those," which ones are you referring to?

A I will take them one at a time.

Q Why don't you separate them.

A This relates to a conversation -- initial conversation with Mr. Schnayer regarding a consulting arrangement with him and a technical question regarding the operation of the El Toro machine.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Let's see if we can identify that a little more specifically.

It is written on the back of a piece of notepaper which seems to be put out by some candidate for something or another.

I think the thing to do is probably just go ahead and mark it.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q The last document that you identified as notes of a

conversation with Mr. Schnayer, let's have that marked as an exhibit. (Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibit 5.) This note relates to my dates of employment at Cyan Engineering -- start and termination date -- and some questions from Mr. Schnayer on the bottom of the piece of paper regarding operation of the El Toro game. 7 (Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibit 6.) 8 BY MR. LEACH: Q This Exhibit 6, does this relate to a conversation that 10 you had with someone? 11 A With Mr. Schnayer. 12 Q Did you make those notes during the course of your 13 conversation with Mr. Schnayer? 14 The notes on the bottom of the page, yes. 15 What about the notes on the top of the page? 16 He had previously asked for my dates of employement 17 with Cyan Engineering. I gave those dates to him at the beginning of the conversation. 19 So you made the notes on the top of the page prior to 20 calling him? 21 Some notes relating to a telephone call to Steve Mayer 22 at Atari. 23

1

2

3

4

5

24

25

BY MR. LEACH:

(Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibit 7.)

Q Referring to Exhibit 7, did you make those notes during the course of your conversation with Mr. Mayer?

A Some were made prior to the conversation. The information at the bottom is a telephone number which I determined before I spoke with him.

The note at the top relates to our conversation, general background information regarding the action and his participation.

And the notes in the middle are Mr. Schnayer's address and relationship with the firm.

Q Do you have anything else?

A Some notes relating to technical questions asked by Mr. Schnayer in a telephone conversation.

(Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibit 8.)

MR. KATZ: I think for further clarity of the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Have you brought any other documents with you?

A Yes. I have some information relating to my consulting arrangement with Fitch, Even. Some notes on a time spent consulting for them.

(Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibit 9.)

A A bill to them in March for consulting services in February.

(Bill marked Greg Cox Exhibit 10.)

BY MR. LEACH:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q When you say a bill, to them, you previously stated Fitch, Even. Are you referring to the law firm of Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh?

A Yes.

Q That is the firm that is representing Bally Manufacturing Corporation, isn't it?

A That's my --

MR. KATZ: I object to the question as lacking foun-

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Would you answer the question?

A Could you repeat the question?

(Question read back.)

A That's my understanding, yes.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you have any other documents?

A Yes. A hand-written copy of a letter and a bill for services in April.

Q Let's mark the bill for services as an exhibit.

(Bill for services marked Greg Cox Exhibit 11.)

(Letter dated May 4, 1981, marked Greg Cox Exhibit 12)

A And a copy of the bill for consulting services in August.

(Bill marked Greg Cox Exhibit 13.)

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q The bill for services has been marked as Exhibit 13.

 Have you brought any other documents with you?
- A Those are the only documents I have.
- Q Have you ever given a deposition before?
- A No.
- Q Letome explain to you a little bit of the procedure.

I will, of course, be asking the questions, as will other attorneys present. I am sure if you have seen Perry Mason you will realize that from time to time objections will be made to my questions.

The way this works, since there is no judge here to make upon the objections, objections are made, but you will still be asked to give an answer in response to the question so that the answer, the objection, and the question will all be on the transcript, and at a later time, the judge will be able to rule upon the answer and whether or not your question may come into evidence.

(Discussion held off the record.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q So that you will still be asked to answer the questions.
You should still give your answer after everyone has finished
objecting to the question.

If you are instructed not to answer the question, I

will still ask you whether you refuse to answer the question, and you will answer that question yes or no. So that if we later go to court and seek to compel your answers to the question, we will have on the record your clear indication of whether or not you refused to answer the question.

Are you familiar with Cyan Engineering?

- A In what respect?
- Q Did you at one time work for Cyan Engineering?
- A Yes.

- Q What were the dates of your employment with Cyan Engineering?
- A If I can refer to that exhibit, I can give you the exact dates. The exhibit you have in your hand.
 - Q Let me show you Exhibit 6.
- A I began work for Cyan Engineering on March 4, 1974.

 Terminated my employment with them on August 16, 1974.
- Q Were you employed by Cyan Engineering during May of 1974?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you recall an open house that was held at Cyan Engineering in May of 1974?
- MR. KATZ: Object to the question as indefinite as to what you mean by open house.
- A Would you please explain what you mean by open house? BY MR. LEACH:

Q Have you never heard the term open house before?

A Yes.

MR. KATZ: Object to the question. If you are referring to the open house as used in the declarations or affidavits, it would save a lot of time to get right to it instead of beating around the bush.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, I think you are permitted at this proceeding to make objections and state reasons for them, not make speeches, sir. That will save a lot of time.

MR. KATZ: I think if we don't beat around the bush and get right to the questions we will save a lot of time.

Is there an outstanding question?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Have you ever referred to the term open house in connection with Cyan Engineering?

A Yes, I have.

Q When you were referring to open house in connection with Cyan Engineering, were you referring to an open house that was held in Cyan Engineering in May of 1974?

A I was referring to an event that took place. I am uncertain as to the exact date. It was sometime in the May-June time: frame. During that event --

Q When you say "May-June," are you referring to May and June of 1974?

A Yes.

3

4 5

6

7.8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

18

19

17

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q Then if I refer to the open house at Cyan Engineering you understand that I am talking about the event that ocurred in May or June of 1974?

A An event which took place during which Atari employees and their families visited the Cyan facility for a tour and were then taken to a park for a picnic.

Q So let me ask you my question again.

When I refer to the Cyan Engineering open house, you will nunderstand that to mean an event that took place in June or May of 1974, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall an El Toro pinball machine being present at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Yes, I do.

Q The El Toro pinball machine that was present at the Cyan Engineering open house was controlled by a microprocessor, was it not?

A Yes, it was.

Q The El Toro pinball machine was played at the Cyan Engineering open house, wasn't it?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading.

A To the best of my recollection, yes.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Did you observe anyone playing the El Toro pinball machine at the open house?

I don't recall a specific event of observing any individual playing the El Toro game. Were you present at the open house at Cyan lyon Q Engineering? Yes. A Who else was present at the open house? Q To the best of his recollection I assume MR. KATZ: you're asking? Do you mean in addition to myself? BY MR. LEACH: Right. I asked who else was present at the open house. The Cyan Engineering employees, members of their family, a group of employees from the Atari facility in Los Gatos, and members of the Atari employees' families. 15 Did the members of the Atari people's families include Q 16 children? 17 Not to the best of my recollection. A 18 Were there any children present at the open house? Q 19 I believe there were a few children present who were 20 the members of the Cyan Engineering families. 21 So there were children present, weren't there? Q 22 23 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

Q

No, I do not.

24

25

Do you know the names of each person that was present?

Do you remember the names of anyone who was presnet? Q 1 Yes, I do. A 2 Would you please give me the names of the people Q 3 that you remember who were present at the Cyan Engineering open house? 5 Larry Emmons . --A 6 I think the record should show that MR. GOLDENBERG: 7 in answering the question the witness is looking at I believe 8 the affidavit. 9 Am I correct, sir? 10 A Yes. 11 MR. KATZ: Page one of the affidavit of Gregory Cox. 12 BY MR. LEACH: 13 Q can you give me the names without referring to the 14 affidavit? 15 Larry Emmons, Steve Mayer, Ron Milner. 16 Would you spell those for the court reporter? Q 17 Larry Emmons, E-m-m-o-n-s, Steve Mayer, M-a-y-e-r, 18 Ron Milner, M-i-l-n-e-r, Mike Rodgers, R-o-d-g-e-r-s, Jodie 19 Sperry, S-p-e-r-r-y. 20 Those are the only names I remember specifically. 21 Do you remember the names of anyone from the Atari 22 A acility in Los Gatos that was there? 23

24

25

A

No. I do not.

GEIGER LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Do you remember the names of anyone else who was there

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

> > 17

18

20 21

22

24

25

who may not have been from the Atari facility in Los Gatos or from Cyan Engineering?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as indefinite and alternative.

A Steve Mayer's wife was there. I do not remember her first name. Ron Milner's wife was also there. Those are the only other individuals I remember.

BY MR. LEACH

Q Then there are some people that were there that you don't remember?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall seeing any of the children playing the El Toro game at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A No, I don't.

Q At the time of the Cyan Engineering open house, the El Toro pinball game generally played as a pinball game, did it not?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as leading and indefinite as what the question means by played as a pinball game."

A Would you be more specific in terms of referencing the use of the word "play"?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you recall having a telephone conversation with me prior to this day?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall me asking you if the El Toro game generally played as a pinball game?

A I don't remember that specific question.

Q Do you recall what your answer was?

A No, I do not.

Q Do you recall discussing the general subject matter of the El Toro game?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall what you said during that telephone conversation with regard to the playing of the El Toro pinball game?

A No.

Q Do you recall me asking you -- and I quote -- "Didn!t the El Toro generally play like a pinball game?"

A I don't recall that.

Q Do you recall answering, "Yeah. With the computer it played just like it did with all the electromechanical parts in it before it was modified"?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading.

A I don't have a specific recollection of those words.
BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you disagree with those words now?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as speculative, calling for answers to hypothetical questions, and

argumentative.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

A As long as there is an agreed-upon definition of what the term "played like a pinball machine" is, that statement would be accurate in terms of meaning that it would be indistinguishable other than the visual display between the operation of a standard electromechanical El Toro machine and the machine that was controlled by the 4,004 microprocessor.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question and the answer. The answer is not responsive and move to strike.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you have anything else to say?

A No.

Q The microcomputer control of the El Toro game had a ball on it, did it not?

A Yes.

Q It had a plunger?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading. Objection to the question as indefinite.

A Yes.

20 BY MR. LEACH:

You could shoot the ball out on the playfield with the plunger, is that not right?

A Yes.

Q When the ball rolled down the playfield, would it score points and light lights?

A Yes.

. 2

MR. KATZ: Object to this line of questioning as being foundation and asking hypothetical questions as to what would occur without any specific reference with any foundation.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q So if we defined play of a pinball machine as a ball rolling on an inclined playfield and scoring points and lighting lights, the El Toro machine would do that, would it not?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite, lacking foundation, and hypothetical without reference to any specific time frame or in what condition the so-called El Toro was.

Mr. Leach knows that the El Toro is an electromechanical pinball machine made by Bally which was converted -- and he knows -- at different stages of construction at different times.

MR. LEACH: Are you making an objection?

MR. KATZ: Yes. And I am stating the basis for the objection on this record.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, really. Mr. Katz, you have gone far beyond. No, sir. I don't think I should sit here and listen to this kind of foolishness.

This witness is being called to give his recollection of events. And what you are doing, sir, is going far beyond any stating of objection.

You are doing everything you can to move this witness testimony in a certain direction by suggesting things to him by these endless speeches you are making. And I think, sir, if you reflect on it you will find it inappropriate and you should stop it.

MR. LEACH: Yes, and I object to Mr. Katz's coaching the witness on the record. I think when the patent examiner reads this transcript he will realize that Mr. Katz's speeches are designed to tip off the witness -- or appear to be designed to tip off the witness.

MR. KATZ: I object to your characterization of what I am doing because I think it is just your leading questions.

This is a third-party witness, and you are leading him around by using indefinite questions. What you will do is, you will take his answers in one context and cite them in papers filed in the patent office to support another position.

You know you have already done that, and therefore

I think especially because of this reissue proceeding and the
fact that these transcripts are being submitted to the patent
office great care should be exercised in the asking of questions.

If you are going to ask a question, I think it is incumbent on you, Mr. Leach, to specify what time frame you are talking about so you don't take the answer to a question, which in the witness' mind he is referring to one time frame, and use it in the patent office to support some proposition of an

7 8

existence of a fact with respect to a different time frame.

We are only concerned that the record accurately reflect the development of the facts, and 'no more than that.

That is why I so strenuously object to the manner in which your questions are being asked.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Mr. Cox, you understand that Mr. Katz will have an opportunity to ask you his questions and clarify anything he feels is unclear, do you not?

A Yes.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Cox, let me say this also, sir. If you don't understand a question, you are entitled to ask any person who puts the question to you what he means.

MR. NOVAK: I pity the examiner that will have to read this record at this stage.

This is Mr. Cox's first deposition. There has been a good deal of leading. Just ask him what happened and what he knew about it.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I think we are entitled to lead.

I don't accept Mr. Katz's characterization of this witness as a third-party witness at all. I assure you of that, sir. And I think we are entitled to lead. He has very clearly shown an identification with the plaintiffs in this case.

MR. KATZ: Whether or not you are entitled to lead, I think it still makes the questions and answers suffer because

of it. So if you could avoid it, it probably would be better.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q At the Cyan Engineering open house, from a player's

Q At the Cyan Engineering open house, from a player's perspective, did the El Toro pinball game play as a pinball game?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as indefinite as to what is meant by "from a player's perspective" and whether or not this witness has the ability to determine to have formed an opinion.

I object to the question as calling for an opinion with no foundation to show that this witness ever formed such an opinion at the time to which you are referring.

If you are asking him to form an opinion now, we object to your use of this witness as an expert, rather than a factowitness for which he has been noticed here.

Would you repeat the question, please. (Question read back.)

A Are you asking my opinion on the subject?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you have an opinion? Why don't you just tell me MR. KATZ: Object to the question on the same basis as before.

You are obviously calling for an opinion, and you haven't established a foundation that this witness had formed an opinion at the time in question.

7 8

It is unfortunate that these objections will not be mled upon because the deposition is going to be provided to the patent office, and there is no provision for ruling on these objections.

But I strenuously make this objection and think it is totally improper what you are doing, Mr. Leach.

MR. LEACH: You will have an opportunity to strenuously cross-examine the witness if you disagree with anything he says.

MR. KATZ: But that doesn't get to the objection. The objection is basically lack of foundation.

Would you repeat the question, please. (Question read back.)

A If you can define what "player's perspective" means, I may be able to answer your question. I don't understand what you mean by that expression.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Have you ever played a pinball game?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you know what a pinball game looks like from your perspective when you are playing it?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Why don't we call that a player's perspective?
- A It would seem somewhat dependent on who the player was. I could give you my impressions of the game when I played

3

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12

14 15

13

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

25

24

it, but not when any other individual played it.

Do you recall the El Toro pinball game ever malfunctioning at the Cyan Engineering open house?

No. A

So as far as you know the El Toro pinball game played properly at the Cyan Engineering open house?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading and indefinite as to what he means by "properly."

It played as it was designed to play, the design being the implementation of the microprocessor-based system, not the electromechanical system.

BY MR. LEACH:

So the El Toro game at the Cyan Engineering open house played as a microprocessor implementation of a pinball game, is that correct?

Yes.

Do you recall in our previous telephone conversation my asking you the following question:

"So basically, as far as the play of the game was to someone sitting there, hitting the flippers, and knocking the ball around, it was indistinguishable from when it was electromechanical although I suppose it had incandescent displays and stuff?"

A I To don't remember any specifics of our prior

conversations. The only thing I recall is a question on your part regarding the open house. Any other details of that conversation I have forgotten.

Q Let me still ask you this question. Do you remember your answer to that question as being, "Yeah. Yeah. The sound and the appearance of the game were a little different"?

A I don't recall that answer.

MR. KATZ: Mr. Leach, did you tape-record conversations with the witness?

MR. LEACH: Mr. Katz, if you want to pose an interrogatory to us to proceed in proper discovery, I will be happy to respond.

MR. KATZ: But you are not going to answer now?

MR. LEACH: I don't want to get diverted from the deposition. If you want to ask me later on -
BY MR. LEACH:

Q Is there anything in the answer that I just quoted to you that is inaccurate?

A Would you repeat it please -- and the question?

Q The question:

"So basically, as far as the play of the game was to someone sitting there, hitting the flippers, and knocking the ball around, it was indistinguishable from when it was electromechanical?"

4 5

The answer, "Yes. The sound and the appearance of the game were a little different."

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. He has already answered the question that he didn't recall what transpired in that conversation.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, the witness just asked to have it repeated to him. Would you deny him that right?

A Are you asking me that question now, or are you asking my answer to the question at the time that you phrased it on the telephone?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q What I am asking you is, do you find anything now that is inaccurate in that answer?

A No. That answer would be accurate.

Q So basically as far as the play of the game was to somebody sitting there, hitting the flippers and knocking the ball around, it was indistinguishable from the electromechanical version, is that correct?

A. There were some differences.

Q Other than the sound and the appearance of the game being a little different because you did not have the relays clicking, would you agree that it would be indistinguishable?

A The relays were not present. The score display was using LDE's instead of mechanical display. And there were interconnection wires.emanating from the unit itself.

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

> 21 22

23

25

Those were the differences between a normal electromechanical El Toro and the machine used at Cyan Engineering.

Q Were those the only differences for the only differences that you can think of?

A The only difference that I can think of now.

Q Did the flippers work at the Cyan Engineering open house as far as you know?

A As far as I know, yes.

Q Did the bumpers work at the Cyan Engineering open house as far as you know?

A Yes.

Q Did the displays work at the Cyan Engineering open house as far as you know?

A Yes.

Q When the ball rolled around on the playfield at the Cyan Engineering open house, did the machine score properly as far as you know?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall any switches becoming stuck at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A No, I do not.

Q Going back to the Cyan Engineering open house and the people that were present, did you meet any of the children that were present?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you recall whether any of the children were explicitly instructed that the matters that they were being exposed to were confidential or secret?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading and lacking foundation.

A Could you repeat the question?

(Question read back.)

A I would only have knowledge of any instructions I was given regarding confidentiality and would not have firsthand knowledge of any instructions to any other individuals.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you have any information that any of the children that were present were expressly told that the matters they were being exposed to were confidential or secret?

Now here I want to distinguish from your firsthand knowledge and something you may have been told.

A I have no knowledge that they either were or were not instructed in any way regarding the confidentiality.

Q So you don't have any knowledge one way or the other whether the children were expressly told that the open house was secret or confidential, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q I believe you previously mentioned that you remembered Steve Mayer's wife being present, and that you recall Ron Milner's wife being present.

Do you know the names of the other wives that were present?

A I don't recall any, no.

Q Do you recall any of the wives being present, whether or not they were expressly instructed that the matters they were being exposed to at the Cyan Engineering open house were secret or confidential?

A I have no firsthand knowledge of any instructions to them regarding confidentiality of the information. It was the general procedure both of Cyan Engineering and Atari that all information relating to work within the company was confidential.

And in social situations with various employees of Cyan Engineering, I was led to understand that the wives of those employees understood that policy.

Q Do you have any information that the wives were expressly instructed that the matters they were being exposed to at the Cyan Engineering open house were secret or confidential?

And here I am distinguishing from firsthand knowledge and what you may have been told.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as having been asked and answered.

A Do you mean a specific event where the wives were told directly that any information learned or observed during this open house would be strictly proprietary or confidential?

BY MR. LEACH:

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

21

20

23

24

25

I mean, do you have any information or have you been told by anyone that the wives were expressly instructed that the matters at the Cyan Engineering open house were to be secret and confidential?

A I was instructed prior to the open house that the information regarding all of the developments of Cyan Engineering were confidential.

Q But I am speaking now and my question was with respect to the wives.

A I'll finish my answer.

MR. KATZ: Objection. Please let him finish his answer. He indicated he wasn't finished.

A And since our families were invited, it was incumbent upon us as employees to communicate this confidentiality of information to our families.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Were you expressly told to communicate that to your families?

A Not to the best of my recollection. However, I did communicate such cautions to my family.

Q Was your family present at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Yes.

Q I don't think you listed them before. What are their names?

8

16

18

20

22 23

24

10 11

12 13

14

17

19

21

25

- My wife is Joetta. My daughter is Samantha. A
- What was Samantha's age at the time of the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - Approximately four.
- Did you tell Samantha that she was not to speak or disclose anything concerning the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - No. A
- Is it correct that you do not remember one way or the other whether any express warning concerning confidentiality or secrecy was given to anyone at the Cyan Engineering open house?
- MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. He has already answered that he was expressly told.
- I was cautioned as to the confidentiality of the information as were the other employees within Cyan Engineering BY MR. LEACH:
 - Who cautioned you? Q
 - A Steve Mayer.
- Did you witness any of the other employees being Q cautioned?
- To the best of my recollection, this caution was given to a group of people at the same time. These people were Cyan employees. But I don't believe it was all of the employees in the company. A group of four or five people, including myself.

Q So is it correct that you can not specifically testify that each Cyan Engineering employee that was present was to your firsthand knowledge given an express warning concerning confidentiality and secrecy at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any firsthand knowledge concerning whether the Atari group from Los Gatos was given an express warning concerning confidentiality or secrecy of the Cyan Engineering open house?

A No, I have no firsthand knowledge of that warning.

Q Do you have any firsthand knowledge concerning whether the wives of the Atari employees were given an express warning concerning confidentiality or secrecy at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A No.

MR. KATZ: Excuse me. Can I have the last question and answer reread, please.

(Question and answer read back.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you recall whether any children were present other than the children of the Cyan Engineering employees?

A To the best of my recollection, the only children were of families of Cyan Engineering.

Q Did you specifically know each child that was present

2

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

> 17 18

20

21

19

22

23

25

at the Cyan Engineering open house?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as indefinite as to what you mean by "know."

A I was acquainted with some of the children that were at the open house.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Can you testify that each child that was present was to your firsthand knowledge a child of a Cyan Engineering employee?

A No, I cannot. Because I don't remember all the children that were present.

Q So it is true that some of the children may possibly have not been children of Cyan Engineering employees?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as calling for pure speculation on the part of the witness and trying to put his answer in your terms, Mr. Leach, and trying to get him to agree with you, which is I think very objectionable and argumentative.

A I don't have a specific recollection of any children being present who were not members of Cyan Engineering families.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q But that is not the question I asked.

MR. KATZ: Your question was objectionable.

Would you repeat the question, please.

BY MR. LEACH:

That's pkay. Let me continue.

The information I am trying to elicit from you is to the extent you were aware specifically what children were present.

MR. KATZ: He has answered that question and you asked it.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Isn't it true that you cannot specifically say that each child present was in fact a child of the Cyan Engineering employees?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question. Asked and answered.

A I can't recall of the specific individuals that were present. To the best of my recollection, there were no children present that didn't belong to families of Cyan Engineering. What may have been, I have no opinion on.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q I am not asking you to speculate. I am just asking you to delime the extent you were aware of specifically who was present.

MR. KATZ: He has already answered that question, Mr. Leach, and you keep persisting in calling for speculation while at the same time you are saying you are not asking for speculation.

A Is there a question?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q No. Isn't it true that you were only acquainted with some of the children that were present at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I don't have a specific recollection of all the individuals that were present. It's possible that I may have been acquainted with all of them.

Since I don't have a complete recollection of who was present, I can't state whether I was acquainted with all of them or not.

Q So it is possible that you were not acquainted with all of them, is that right?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for speculation again.

You keep persisting, Mr. Leach, in asking this question until you succeed in putting your words in the witness mouth.

MR. LEACH: No. The witness said it was possible he may have been acquainted with all of the children that were present. What I am trying to establish is that he may not have been acquainted with all the children present.

A Both situations are possible. Either that I may or that I may not have been acquainted.

MR. KATZ: And I objected to the whole line of questioning as lacking foundation as to what his recollection

was. What he knew at that time, not what he remembers now. BY MR. LEACH:

Q So it is possible that you may not have been acquainted with all the children that were present, is that correct?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. Calling for speculation.

MR. NOVAK: Answer.

A Repeat the question, please.

(Question read back.)

A That is possible.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Can you specifically say that each person that was present at the Cyan Engineering open house was an employee of Atari or an employee of Cyan Engineering or a member of the employees' family?

MR. KATZ: Can I have that question read back? (Question read back.)

A It was my understanding at the time of the open house that all the attendees were employees of Atari or Cyan or members of their families. .

Since that time I do not have a specific recollection of the individuals that were present. But I still have that understanding that the attendees were limited to those people.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you have firsthand knowledge that each person was either an employee of Atari or Cyan or a member of their immediate family other than your general understanding at the time?

A I was met and introduced to all of the people that attended and do not recall meeting or being introduced to an individual who was not a member of a family of Cyan or Atari or an employee.

Q If you cannot now specifically remember who was present, isn't it fair to say that you cannot specifically say that each person was an employee of Cyan or Atari?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as mischaracterizing what he just said.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Or a member of their immediate family?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as completely mischaracterizing what he just stated.

He answered your question and you are doing this again. Same thing.

A I stated that I don't recall meeting anybody that was not a member of a family or employee of Cyan or Atari.

However, I do not have specific recollection of all the individuals that were present. That's to the best of my recollection on the matter.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Can you testify positively that you met each person that was present at the Cyan Engineering open house.

MR. KATZ: He just did.

A Yes.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Were you guarding the door?

A No, I was not.

Q Where were you during the course of the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Within the facilities of Cyan Engineering.

Q Do you mean that you were not in any particular location, but generally circulating about the facilities of Cyan Engineering?

A Yes.

Q Is it possible that during the course of your circulating about the facility of Cyan Engineering during the course of the Cyan Engineering open house that you may have circulated by someone --

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for speculation, asking a hypothetical question, and completely out of order.

A Most things are possible. I'd be happy to answer specific questions not calling for supposition on my part. BY MR. LEACH:

All I am asking for is that to which your presence at

the Cyan Engineering open house insured that you could not have missed someone who may have attended the Cyan Engineering open house. Is it possible?

A It was a reasonably small-sized group. I was accompanying them during the open house and during the picnic for a period of approximately six hours.

To the best of my recollection, I met everybody present at the open house.

Q Were you introduced to each and every one of the children?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. Asked and answered.

A Let me clarify the answer to the last question.

What I meant was that I met and was introduced to all of the visitors, including the Atari personnel and their families.

I knew the Cyan Engineering people and their wives from prior social occasions.

I have no specific recollection as to the -- to who the individual children were.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Wasn't it true that you were not introduced to each and every one of the children?

A That's possible.

Q How long had you been employed at Cyan Engineering at the time of the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Approximately two months.

MR. Let's take a short recess at this point. (Recess.)

AFTER RECESS

BY MR. LEACH:

Q You made reference to a consulting arrangement that you had with the plaintiff's law firm, Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh.

Were you at some point contacted by a representative of their firm in connection with this case?

A I don't know what the details of this case are. I was contacted regarding my involvement with the El Toro game at Cyan Engineering.

Q You indicated earlier that Exhibit 8 includes notes that you had taken during a conversation with Mr. Schnayer.

Is Mr. Schnayer a representative or employed by Fitch.
Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

MR. LEACH: I don't think there is any dispute that he is an attorney for them

BY MR. LEACH:

Q I show you Exhibit 8.

Were you asked by Mr. Schnayer to do anything in connection with your consulting work?

A Yes.

2 3

5 6

> 7 8

9

11

10

13

12

14

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

23

22

24

25

Does Exhibit 8 reflect one of the things that Mr. Schnayer asked you to do?

Yes. A

Does Exhibit 8 include the writing, "Look for response, stuck switches, multiple closures"?

Yes. A

Were you asked by Mr. Schnayer to look for stuck switches or multiple closures?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite. BY MR. LEACH:

Were you asked by Mr. Schnayer to look for stuck Q switches?

At no time did I look for any stuck switches or multiple closures. He asked me to evaluate the response of the El Toro microprocessor-based machine to the conditions of stuck switches and multiple closures.based on documentation he provided regarding the hardware and software of the El Toro game.

Is it true that the documentation that you used in making your evaluation was provided by Mr. Schnayer?

A Yes.

Did you take the documentation provided by Mr. Schnayer and look for the response that the El Toro would give to stuck switches, assuming that the documentation he provided represented the software in the El Toro machine?

Yes.

3

5

6

7

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

25

(Declaration marked Greg Cox Exhibit 14.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q We have marked as Exhibit 14 a document entitled Declaration for Gregory Cox, which appears to be dated 7/9/81 and containing several copies of documents attached to it.

Showing you Exhibit 14, does Exhibit 14 contain copies of the documents that you studied in making your evaluation or your analysis?

A May I see the copies of these exhibits that I provided to you?

MR, KATZ: Read back the last question and answer. (Question and answer read back.)

MR. LEACH: I am providing the witness with copies of the documents that he produced this morning in answer to the subpoena.

A That's enough.

Yes, these are copies of the documents provided by Mr. Schnayer.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Are those copies of the document that you studied in making your analysis?

A Yes.

Q Did you study any documents in addition to those documents that are attached to Exhibit 14?

A There was one additional document which was a portion

of the programmer's manual for the Intellec 4.

(Manual marked Greg Cox Exhibit 15.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q I have a document that you produced this morning which I have labled as Greg Cox Exhibit 15.

Is this the portion of the manual that you referred to?

A Yes, it is.

Q How long had it been since you had programmed an Intellec system prior to your making this analysis?

A My last work on the Intellec system was in August of 1974, just prior to leaving Cyan Engineering. And I began review of these documents in February of 1981.

Q Did Mr. Schnayer tell you what the document was that he was sending to you?

A No, he did not.

Q Did you ask Mr. Schnayer for any specific documents?

A Only the document you have here, Exhibit 15, which is the portion of the programmer's manual.

Q Did you ask Mr. Schnayer for a copy of the software listing for the El Toro game?

A No. He provided that without being asked.

Q The document attached to Exhibit 14, is that a copy of the software listing of the El Toro machine which you reviewed in making your analysis?

A Yes.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you actually look at a different copy of the program from the one that is physically attached to Exhibit 14?

A Yes.

Q Did you make notes on the copy that you looked at when making your analysis?

A Yes, I did.

Q Is the copy which you reviewed and made notes on here today?

A Yes. These two documents are the copy I used in doing the analysis of the Ell Toro software.

MR. LEACH: Let's label these as exhibits.

(Patch log marked Greg Cox Exhibit 16; Notes marked Greg Cox Exhibits 17, 18, 19; Program marked Greg Cox Exhibit 20.)
BY MR. LEACH:

Q I have taken the two documents that you just referred to and have broken them up into five different documents which we have labeled Exhibits 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20.

Are these the documents which you were just referring to?

A Yes, they are.

Q What is Exhibit 16?

A It might be better if I describe the relationship of the various exhibits at one time.

Exhibit 20 is the basic software program for the

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE. 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

El Toro machine as generated using a Teletype connected to the Intellec 4 system.

Exhibit 16 is called a patch log. Patch being described as changes to a software program for the purpose of corrections or modifications to that program. Exhibit 16 is then a list of patches or modifications to Exhibit 20, to modify the operation of that software.

Exhibits 17, 18, and 19 contain notes I made during an analysis of Exhibit 16 and 20 to refresh my memory regarding how the patches of Exhibit 16 were incorporated within the program of Exhibit 20.

Q Do you specifically remember the steps that were in the El Toro machine software at each particular point in time during the development of the El Toro machine?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite.

A Repeat the question, please.

(Question read back.)

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite. There is no reference to what you mean by 'particular point in time."

A The development of any software system involves a preliminary version of the program which is then tested and evaluated and corrected and modified during the development cycle of software program.

This sequence was used and implemented in the El Toro

software development. At various times during the development of the software different versions of the software program were in use and being evaluated within the machine.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q So you remember the steps of each version of the software program that was in use each time during the develop-

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite and failing to state what time reference you are referring to.

A What do you mean by "steps"?

BY MR. LEACH:

mental phase of the El Toro machine?

Q Referring to Exhibit 16, each line of the exhibit represents what?

A Each line represents a memory location within the Intellec 4 memory system and the contents of that memory at the time the program was being used on the El Toro machine.

Q Referring to Exhibit 20, what does each line of Exhibit 20 represent?

A It also represents the same thing, the instruction location or memory location within the memory of the micro-processor system and the contents of the memory location in alphanumeric form.

Q Do you remember the contents of the memory location for each different version of the software program that was used on the El Toro pinball machine?

A Do you mean every specific location within the memory of the system for every specific program version?

Q Yes.

A No, I do not remember that.

Q If you do not remember the contents of each memory location and each different version of the software program used in the El Toro pinball machine, how do you distinguish or how can you tell one version of the software program from another?

A During the development cycle of the El Toro program, certain changes were made to the basic operation of the soft-

I recall that at no time was there a major modification to the basic architecture of the software program. However, certain minor modifications were made in reviewing documents, Exhibits 20 and 16.

I recognize my pwn handwriting on these documents and recall the operation of the software. There were certain problems and difficulties encountered during the development of the software which have been corrected in Exhibit 16 as it reflects on Exhibit 20.

To the best of my recollection, this is the final version of the software program that was used in the El Toro machine and its microprocessor version during my employment with Cyan Engineering.

Q Do you specifically remember that this was the final

version that was used by the El Toro pinball machine?

A To the best of my recollection, yes.

Q Do you base that recollection upon examining the contents of each preliminary location that is listed in Exhibit 20 as modified by Exhibit 16?

A Indirectly, yes. More specifically in examining the functional operation and flow of the software documented in Exhibit 16 and 20.

I do recall the specific function and operation of the software. However, I don't specifically recall the ones and zeros contained in each memory location of the memory.

Q Could minor changes have been made to the software listing of Exhibit 20 and Exhibit 16 which did not change the function of the system without you being aware of them upon reviewing these two exhibits to refresh your recollection?

MR. KATZ: May I hear that question? (Question read back.)

MR. KATZ: Objection to question as calling for speculation and asking him hypothetical questions and not establishing a foundation that he could actually answer that question.

A Are you asking an opinion of me now in viewing these documents whether other memory contents could perform the same function?

BY MR. LEACH:

Q No.

A Can you clarify your question?

asking you, could modification have been made to the software listing of the Exhibit 16 and 20 that did not change the function of the system without you being aware of them or remembering them upon reviewing Exhibits 16 and 20 to refresh your recollection.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question on the same grounds as before, without establishing any foundation for this witness to be able to answer that question.

Obviously it might call for an examination of every function and every step and its equivalence if there are any to be able to answer the question as to what is possible and what isn't possible.

Therefore I strongly object to this type of question. You said you wanted to ask him for his memory, and the witness has already testified as to his memory. Now you are doing the same thing you did before. You are trying to put your words in his mouth, and I object to that technique in questioning. I think while it might be appropriate in trial advocacy, it has no place in the patent office proceeding.

A Most things are possible. I can discuss what did take place regarding these documents in this program while I was employed at Cyan Engineering.

While I was employed at Cyan Engineering, to my knowledge no other individual wrote or modified any of the software
that was used in the El Toro game, that there were no other
changes to this program other than what is documented in these
two exhibits, 16 and 20, and that this was the program used in
the operation of the El Toro machine.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you specifically recall that these listings are the final version of the software in the El Toro pinball machine?

A It is possible that one additional listing may have been located, which would have taken the contents of both Exhibits 16 and 20, put all of the corrections in line, incorporated them directly within the single program.

The memory contents would have been exactly the same in this other version if it did exist. My memory is not clear on whether it was generated or not.

The memory contents would be the same with the exception of control steps to enter and exit the changes shown in Exhibit 16. Functionally and instructionally it would be exactly equivalent to Exhibit 16 and 20.

Q Then there would be some additional control steps that would be inserted into the final software listing?

A No. There would have been control steps used in 16 and 20 only for the purpose of entering and exiting these

software patches, which would not be necessary if those patches were put in line in the program. And those would be deleted from a future version of the program.

Q So that in order to make the program function with Exhibit 20 and 16, you would have to insert control patches in order to make the program of Exhibit 20 and Exhibit 16 function? You would have to insert control steps into the program to modify the program of Exhibit 20 so that it functioned in accordance with the patches of Exhibit 16, is that correct?

A Yes. Those control steps are included within Exhibit 16.

Q Exhibit 20 contains markings and notations which do not appear on the software listing that is attached to Exhibit 14.

Did you make those notations on Exhibit 20?

A Yes.

Q Is it true that you then modified the listing of Exhibit 20 in making your analysis of the operation of the software program represented by these listings?

A I modified the copy of the program listing. I did not modify the program.

Q Desn't the program of Exhibit 20 have to be modified before it will represent what you believe is the final version of the software:

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading and

mischaracterizing testimony.

A Let me try and define what I understand to be the final version of the program.

A program is a sequence of logic or functional instructions. In the case of the El Toro machine, they provided certain hardware control functions and timing control functions.

Exhibit 20 was a version of a program to control the El Toro machine using the Intellec microcomputer system.

That program, as modified by Exhibit 16, is the final version of the software program used in the El Toro machine to the best of my knowledge.

It is possible, although I do not have a specific recollection, that a clean program listing may have been generated, which would have incorporated Exhibit 16 in line to those instructions shown in Exhibit 20.

If that had been done, there would have been absolutely no difference in terms of functional operation or in terms of functional program sequences and program operation between what's shown here in Exhibit 16 and 20 and the cleaned resion of that program.

So although they would have been different documents, they would have been exactly the same in terms of their effect within the El Toro machine.

The markings on Exhibit 20 are shown to reflect those

changes in Exhibit 16 as they reflect into the typed version of the program listing that are provided for clarity and following the logical flow of the program.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q So then is it true that you modified the listing of Exhibit 20 in accordance with the modifications that are indicated on Exhibit 16?

A Yes.

Q I notice on the first page of the Exhibit 20 there is a piece of paper that is taped to the first page of Exhibit 20 that has some writing underneath.

What is the writing underneath of this piece of paper?

A The writing underneath was a preliminary correction to the program which appeared early in the listing of Exhibit 16, which was later modified at the time I was preparing the software program.

The later modification is shown on the taped piece of paper which covers the older Xeroxed version of those program instructions.

Those set of instructions do exactly the same thing but in a different way.

Q Where does this modification that appears directly on Exhibit 20 come from?

MR. KATZ: Do you understand the question?

11

12 13

> 14 15

16

18

17

20)

19

21

23 24

25

A No. I am not sure I do.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q When you wrote this modification on Exhibit 20, where did you obtain that information?

A From Exhibit 16.

Q Would you show it to me from where it appears on Exhibit 16?

A (Indicating.)

Q And just so that the record will be clear, would you please circle the area of Exhibit 20 that we are discussing now and label that as A.

A Is this the area you re referring to?

Q Yes.

A It appears on the second page of Exhibit 16 in an area I have marked as AA.

Q Would you circle the area; that you have marked as AA' on Exhibit 16?

A (Marking.)

Q Where does the portion of the patch or modification that is represented by the piece of paper that has been taped over Exhibit 20, which I will ask you for purposes of clarity to circle and label as B.

MR. KATZ: Could I have that question read back? (Question read back.)

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as being

meaningless and grammatically incorrect.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q You have circled a portion of Exhibit 20 and labeled it as B.

Where does the prtion which you have circled and labeled as B come from on Exhibit 16?

A Area B of the program is in the same location as area A and is an extension of that by incorporating some of the instructions below the area A within that patch.

Q On Exhibit 16 have you labeled the area of the patched program represented by Exhibit 16 that the portion of the program marked B comes from?

A Yes.

Q Did you label it BB?

A Yes. Is this clear that this portion of the program is AA and corresponds to the circled area A?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Would that mean lines 12 to 19 are AA, and BB is lines 12 to 25?

A Yes. This shows that these two notations are on Exhibit 20 are not only exactly equivalent, but actually occupy the same memory location space and have the same memory location in the patch log Exhibit 16.

(Recess.)

AFTER RECESS

BY MR. LEACH:

> 4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

14

13

15

17

16

18

20

19

21

2223

24

25

Referring to Exhibit 16, when I originally asked you to circle the portion of Exhibit 16 where the portion labeled A on Exhibit 20 came from, didn't you circle steps 12 through 25?

Because I was looking at the attached piece of Yes. paper which we designated as B and caught that error and corrected that nomenclature on the paper.

When I originally asked you to circle the portion where A came from, you circled steps 12 through 25 and placed upon Exhibit 16 the marking that circles those steps, is that correct?

Α Yes.

That was an error and it should be steps 12 through Q 19. is that correct?

Correct. A

The portion labeled BB is intended to encompass steps 12 through 25, is that correct?

A Yes.

And that is the portion that represents the corresponding steps of Exhibit 16, which correspond to the portion labeled B on Exhibit 20, is that correct?

A Yes.

> Why don't we take a recess for lunch. MR. LEACH: (Recess.)

AFTER RECESS

7 8

MR. LEACH: We have discussed, in view of the possibility we would not be able to complete this deposition today, the prospect of coming back for a second day of testimony, and everyone has agreed to adjourn today at approximately a quarter of four and then continue the deposition until September 18, 1981, at 8:30 a.m. at this same location.

MR. KATZ: I would like for the purposes of the record to enter agreement with what Mr. Leach said, but would like to object to the use of this testimony in either the patent office or the courts until the plaintiffs have had an opportunity to cross-examine the witness, Mr. Cox.

MR. GOLDENBERG: For myself, I really will take that under advisement. I am not prepared to state one way or the other what my position on that will be.

MR. LEACH: Hopefully it will not be a problem.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Cox might want his original documents returned to him. Therefore if the parties agree, copies may be offered both PTO and the court in lieu of the original.

MR. WELSH: With particular attention to legibility because they are kind of faint in some portions.

MR. GOLDENBERG: With particular attention to legibility, if that is acceptable.

MR. KATZ: Yes. And of course subject to errors if they be shown by any party.

MR. GOLDENBERG: We would ask Mr. Cox or his attorney

to preserve the originals, but we will actually offer copies.

(Schematic marked Greg Cox Exhibit 21.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q I have a document which you produced this morning that I have marked Greg Cox Exhibit 21.

Can you identify this document?

A This is a copy of the schematic of the El Toro interface electronics provided to me by Mr. Schnayer.

Q I have some documents that are paper-clipped together that you produced this morning.

Can you identify those documents?

A These are notes I made during the process of analyzing the Exhibits 16, 20, and 21 in refreshing my memory as to how the hardware and software operation of the El Toro game was executed.

Q Did you have to review Exhibit 21 in determining the operation of the program?

A To some extent, yes. It was useful in understanding the input, output scheme and operation of the software.

Are any aspects of the software program hardware dependent?

A Yes. Those dealing with the control of the hardware.

MR. KATZ: Excuse me. Are those marked as an exhibit,
the papers that were clipped together which you just referred?

BY MR. IEACH:

have just identified which were paper-clipped together, do these papers contain a flow chart of the program?

MR. GOLDENBERG: I think if you mark them as 22 -Would you mind if we put an A, B, C at the bottom of the page to keep track of them that way.

The papers which I have just handed you and which you

MR. LEACH: Let's mark these papers as Exhibit 22.

(Flow Chart marked Greg Cox Exhibit 22-A through 22-K.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q The documents which you previously identified as the documents which were paper-clipped together have been labeled as Greg Cox Exhibits 22-A through 22-K.

Would you examine these documents and tell me if any of those documents represent a flow chart of the program?

A Exhibits 22-F, G, H, I, J, and K represent flow charts of portions of the software program represented in Exhibits 16 and 20.

Q When you say it is a representation of the program of Exhibits 16 and 20, do you mean to say that it is a representation of the flow chart of the program of Exhibit 20 after it had been modified in accordance with the changes listed on Exhibit 16?

A Yes.

I hand you a copy of a document which was among the documents which you produced today which has been identified at

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22

24

25

the top as Affidavit of Gregory Cox and ask if you can identify this document?

A This is an affidavit I prepared in conjunction with Mr. Schnayer on March 5, 1981, concerning various aspects of my involvement with Cyan Engineering and the El Toro project.

MR. LEACH: Would you identify this document as Exhibit 23?

(Affidavit marked Greg Cox Exhibit 23.)

A I'd like to note that on the second page there is some hand-written inserts to paragraph six, and this may be a preliminary copy of that affidavit. I am not certain that this was the final version of the affidavit that was notarized.

MR. GOLDENBERG: We have a copy of what we believe to be the final version, if he wants to compare.

(Affidavit marked Greg Cox Exhibit 24.)

BY MR. LEACH:

Q We have marked a document entitled Affidavit of Gregory Cox as Greg Cox Exhibit 24, and I hand that to you for comparison.

A Exhibit 24 does not contain the hand-written modifications to paragraphs five and six shown in Exhibit 23.

Whose handwriting are the modifications that are shown in Exhibit 23?

A It is my handwriting.

Why did you modify the portion of Exhibit 23 that has

been modified on page two?

A To clarify those statements in paragraphs five and six referring to the information involved in the El Toro game as it may or may not have been divulged to any people outside the company, specifically Intel:

Q Then are you saying that the version that is represented by Exhibit 24 you felt needed clarification, and that was clarified on the hand-written portion of Exhibit 23? Do I understand you correctly?

A Could you repeat the question, please.

(Question read back.)

A Yes.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q When was the modification made?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you know whether it was modified before or after you signed the affidavit?

A It would appear to be afterwards because the Xeroxed copy has my signature on it.

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question. The answer is speculation.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Who typed the affidavit?
- A It was typed by a typing service in Wayne, New Jersey.
- Q Does Exhibit 23 also contain a place for an insert?

GEIGED LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109 -

A Yes, it does.

Q Does that indicate that there was an additional portion of the affidavit which was intended to be inserted on page two of Exhibit 23?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Was that portion actually inserted on the version of what appears as Exhibit 24?

A No.

Q Do you have a copy of insert A?

A No, I don't.

Q Do you have any information or belief as to where a copy of insert A might be today?

A No, I have no knowledge of where a copy might be.

Q Do you recall what insert A was?

A No, I do not.

Q Did you prepare insert A?

A Yes.

Q When you made these changes to your affidavit that are indicated on Exhibit 23, was the affidavit retyped?

A I don't recall.

Q Did you send a copy of your changed affidavit to Mr. Schnayer or anyone else at Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh?

A I don't recall.

Q Did you just change the affidavit and not tell anyone

about it?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you have a belief as to whether you told anyone at Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh that you had changed the affidavit?

A I do not recall telling anybody that I changed the affidavit.

Q Is it your testimony that you believe you changed the affidavit and didn't tell anybody?

A I don't recall the circumstances that brought about the change or what happened as a result of it.

Q In the changes that you made to the affidavit shown on Exhibit 23, I notice that you have crossed out two sentences in paragraph six.

Did you cross out those sentences because they were incorrect?

A No. The reason for the changes was to clarify my involvement in the communications between myself and other Cyan employees. Specifically, Steve Mayer and Intel.

Upon rereading paragraphs five and six, it seemed to me that it was clearly enough stated that although these discussions took place, they did not involve any of the implementation of the El Toro prototype design. And I wanted to clarify those statments to read so that there was no question that any discussion or involvements with Intel that I was a party to

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

18

17

19

21

22 23

24

25

specifically stayed away from the area of the implementation of the El Toro machine.

Q So you were not present at any discussions with Intel people that involved the implementation of the Intellec microprocessor system?

A That's correct.

MR. KATZ: I object to the question though as mischaracterizing what the witness has just said.

Could I have that --

MR. GOLDENBERG: The witness has answered the question.

MR. KATZ: But I would like to have that last question and answer read back, please.

(Question and answer read back.)

MR. KATZ: Object to the question and answer as a mischaracterization of the previous testimony in that the answer of the witness to the second to the last preceding question was referring to the El Toro prototype, and that characterization of the testimony by Mr. Leach referred only to the Intellec development system.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Mr. Cox, you understand that I am not trying to mischaracterize your testimony, but I am trying to determine what the situation is.

A I misunderstood your last question referring to discussion of the Intellec.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

14

13

15

16 17

> 18 19

20

22

23

25

If we could reread that, I would clarify my answer on that question.

(Question read back.)

A I misinterpreted your question to mean that I was not present at a discussion of the implementation of the El Toro machine.

I was a party to discussions regarding the implementation and use of the Intellec system.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q With regard to pinball machines?
- A No.
- Q Does our discussion of the reason for striking the last two sentences of paragraph six on Exhibit 23 assist you in recalling what your changes were which were to be incorporated in insert A?

A I still don't recall the specific changes that would have been included in insert A, which is missing.

The affidavit is filed and as shown in Exhibit 24 is accurate. The intent of those changes shown in Exhibit 23 was only to provide additional clarification and not affect the acuracy of those statements.

- Q The Cyan facilities were located in an old hospital building, were they not?
 - A Yes.
 - Was Cyan Engineering the only company or organization

that had offices located in that building?

No. There were other companies who had facilities within that building also.

Did that building have a lobby?

There was an entrance area, but I don't specifically Α recall a lobby.

Did the employees for each of the companies pass through+ the entry area?

Generally, yes. Although there were several entrances and exits.

Was the entry area open to the employees of each of the companies?

The entry area of the building?

Q Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. A

Do you recall the other companies that were located in the same building with Cyan Engineering in approximately May of 1974?

I recall two companies. One was a division of Litton, and the other was a company called Eigen Systems, I believe.

Do you know how to spell it?

A E-i-g-e-n Systems.

Do you recall the names of any of the other companies that were located in the same building with Cyan in May of 1974?

No, I don't.

Q How many other companies were there in addition to Litton Division and Eigen Systems?

A I don't know. At least one other.

Q So the total number of companies located in the same building with Cyan was at least four, counting Cyan, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any recollection as to how many the total number of companies was? Was it five or was it six, or what is the extent of your recollection?

A I recall only those three specific companies and the impression that there was at least one other. Three is all I remember specifically.

Q Approximately how many people were located in the same building?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation.

A I don't recall.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you recall a pinball game being located in the entry of the building?

A No.

Q Do you recall a pinball machine being located anywhere in the building, other than in Cyan offices?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as being

indefinite in respect to any particular time frame.

A No, I don't.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Did the Cyan office have a lobby?

A There was an area which could have been considered a lobby which contained Jodie Sperry's desk and Ed Schleeter's drafting table.

Q This area which I will refer to as the lobby then, do you recall a pinball machine ever being located in this lobby?

A No.

Q Do you recall any visitors at Cyan ever playing the El Toro pinball machine?

A No.

Q I thought you testified earlier that you believed people played the pinball machine at the open house?

A I would clarify that.

Other than the occasion of the open house and the visit by the Atari people, I don't remember any occasion where visitors played the El Toro machine.

MR. KATZ: I would like the record to reflect the fact that Mr. Goldenberg has passed a note to Mr. Leach and has in the past here today suggested other questions.

I raise that as a matter of record only to the question of whether or not Mr. Goldenberg should have the right to conduct an examination coextensive with this particular

topic covered by Mr. Leach, which we would object to.

MR. LEACH: I disagree with your assumption that Mr. Goldenberg has suggested questions to me, or that if he has, I have asked them.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Goldenberg, speaking for himself, says that he will conduct whatever examination he believes to be appropriate, representing the interest of his client in this matter.

MR. KATZ: No matter what?

MR. GOLDENBERG: You could almost say that.

MR. LEACH: And also, we did not ask to be sued in the same lawsuit.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you recall whether this lobby area had glass walls in any portion of the area?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you recall whether someone in the building could see into the Cyan Engineering offices through a glass wall?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as lacking foundation, based on his previous answer.

A During the time I was employed at Cyan Engineering from March to August of 1974, it's my recollection that there was no way which you could gain visibility into the Cyan facilities from the hallway where the entry door -- into what we're calling the lobby area existed.

BY MR. LEACH:

 3

Q Was this lobby area closed off or partitioned off from the rest of the Cyan offices so that someone in the lobby area could not gain access into the remaining of Cyan Engineering facilities?

A I believe so.

Q Was there a wall and a door?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question.

Could I hear it again?

(Question read back.)

MR. KATZ: Object to the question as indefinite.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Between Jodie Sperry's desk and Ed Schleeter's drafting area and the remaining of the Cyan Engineering facilities?

A To the best of my recollection, during the time I was employed at Cyan Engineering there was three offices that had doorways on to the lobby area.

In addition to those offices there were -- was a lobby area which had access through a doorway to the lobby area.

I do not specifically recall if there were any glass partitions or whether there was direct visibility if those doors were closed into those office areas and the laboratory areas.

Would you draw a representation of the floor plan at the Cyan Facilities as you remember it?

GEIGED LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

> 21 22

23

24

25

Yes. A

> That would be the floor plan as best I can recall it. (Floor plan marked Greg Cox Exhibit 25.)

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. KATZ: Let the record show that Mr. Goldenberg has had a discussion now off the record with Mr. Leach with respect to the floor plan drawing which was just prepared by the witness.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Would you please label the area that you have been referring to as the lobby area with my ink pen so it would be distinguished from the rest of the drawing that you have thus far drawn?
 - Would you like me to outline it?
 - That would be fine. Any way which is convenient.
 - MR. KATZ: Could you indicate that in a broken line because in our copies we won't be able to tell the difference between the pencil and pen.

MR. LEACH: Why don't we make an exception in this case and put the original in the transcript?

MR. KATZ: It won't make any difference. Everybody is going to be working with copies, and no one will know what we are talking about.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q .. So you have circled in pen the area including the

portion of the floor plan that you have labeled drafting area, and the portion of the floor plan that you have labeled Sperry's desk and labeled this in ink as lobby area, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q During the course of the Cyan Engineering open house, did you have occasion to go back into the lab area at the far end of the Cyan Engineering offices?

A I don't recall.

Q Do you recall whether you went into any of the three areas that you have labeled as office area during the course of the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I don't recall my specific actions and locations during the open house.

One of those office areaswas mine, and I most certainly was in that and was probably also in the area called the lobby and probably in one or both of the laboratory areas.

But I don't have specific recollection of where I was at any given time during the tour in the open house.

Q If you were back in the laboratory at the far end of the office, could someone have walked in and out of the door without you being aware of his presence at the Cyan open house?

MR. KATZ: I object to the question as calling for pure speculation from the witness.

Objection also on the basis that it is a hypothetical question, and it is also seeking argumentative type of testimony

2

3

4

ō

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the witness.

The witness is here to provide facts and not to speculate as to what was possible and what wasn't possible.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, is it necessary for you to pose on the table in that fashion?

MR. KATZ: In order to see this drawing. I can hard! see it if I am sitting in my regular chair.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your present objection has nothing to do with the drawing.

MR. KATZ: He was pointing to the lab area in the Mr. Leach was. drawing.

I would not want to speculate on whether it was possible for anyone to enter or exit the Cyan facilities if someone else including myself or any other individual was at some specific location within those facilities.

Anybody can look at the floor plan and draw their own conclusions.

BY MR. LEACH!

I am not aware of what portion of the walls were glass or not so I imagine if someone were standing back here in the far left lab portion, would it be possible to visually observe an individual coming in and out of the door?

I also stated that I don't have specific recollection of which if any walls had glass partitions in them. And for that reason I can't recall and have no opinion at this point

whether an individual on the far lab area could visibly see the front door or not.

Q So if the El Toro machine were located in either one of the labs, you don't know one way or the other whether someone would be able to visually observe the El Toro machine from the doorway or the hall or the lobby area, is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. KATZ: May I enter a belated objection to that last question as posing a hypothetical question to the witness who answered the hypothetical question.

BY MR. LEACH:

 $\mathbf{2}$

Q Where was the El Toro machine visibly located on the floor plan during the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I don't recall where it was specifically located during the open house.

At various times during my employment with Cyan it was located in an office area adjacent to the hallway, which I will label office one, if that's --

- Q Whose office was that?
- A That was my office.
- You can label that as Cox office, if you like.
- A (Marking.).
- Q So at various times the El Toro machine was located in the portion that we have labeled as Cox office, is that correct?

```
Yes. And at other times it was located in the labora
        A
1
   tory adjacent to the lobby.
2
             Why don't you label the laboratory areas as lab one
3
    and lab two?
              (Marking.)
5
              So then at various times it was located in the
6
    area : labeled as lab one, is that correct?
7
              Yes.
         A
8
              During the Cyan Engineering open house, was the door
9
    to the Cyan Engineering offices kept locked?
10
              I don't recall.
         A
11
              Did one of the office area serve as Steve Mayer's
         Q
12
    office?
13
         A
              Yes.
14
              Would you please label that as Mayer's office?
          Q
15
               (Marking.) To the best of my recollection, Ron Milner
16
          A
     was also in that office.
17
               Why don't you put Milner's name on that area?
 18
          Q
 19
          A
               (Marking.)
               Did you recall the individual who occupied the third
          Q
 20
     office area that is not yet labeled?
 21
 22
          A
               Larry Emmons.
               Would you please write Emmons name on that office
 23
          Q
     area?
 24
 25
```

GEIGER LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

(Marking.)

- 1 2
- 3

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 18
- 20

19

- 21
- 22
- 24

23

25

- You have labeled an area of the floor plan as porch.
 Was this porch area open to the outside?
- A By means of a door or an external exit?
- Q Yes.
- A No. There was no door, no external exit or entrance.
 There were windows, but only windows.
 - Q So was there no access to the outside from this porch?
 - A Not to the best of my recollection, no.
 - Q Unless you jumped through a window?
 - A Right.
- Q When you were located in your office, which is labeled Cox office, during the Cyan Engineering open house, was it possible for you at all times to observe every individual that cames in and out of the door?
 - A No.
- Q Was Larry Emmons' wife at the Cyan Engineering open house in May or June of 1974?
- A I am not certain. I think she probably was, but I don't have a specific recollection.
- Q Was Mike Rodgers' wife at the Cyan Engineering openhouse?
 - A I don't recall.
- Q Did Jodie Sperry have a spouse at the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - A I don't recall.

 MR. KATZ: Objection to this question and the preceding question on the ground of lacking foundation.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Was Jodie Sperry married to your knowledge?
- A Yes, she was.
- Q Did anyone bring a girlfriend or a boyfriend to the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - A I don't recall.
- Q Was there anyone in Cyan Engineering that was not married at the time of the open house?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Who do you recall was not married at the time of the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - A There was a student who was working as a technician for the summer. I don't recall his name, but I do recall that he was single.
 - Q Do you recall whether he brought a friend or a date or anyone else with him to the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - A I don't recall. I think I should clarify that. I'm using the term open house to mean the total visit -- total period of the visit by the Atari people which included a tour of the Cyan facilities and a picnic at a park in Nevada City, I believe.
 - And it would probably clarify a situation to distinguish between what transpired at the picnic and what transpired

at the facility at Cyan and the hospital building.

I don't have a specific recollection of the wives of any of the Cyan Employees being at the Cyan facilities. But I do recall the wives of Steve Mayer and Ron Milner being at the picnic.

Q Is it just that you don't recall one way or the other whether they were at the Cyan facilities?

A Yes.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

ğ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you recall how many employees of Atari were present at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I don't remember the specific number. It was somewhere in the neighborhood of about a dozen people. Twelve to eighteen people.

Q That is from Atari?

A Yes.

Q How many additional people were there if you included wives or husbands?

A Well, I mean to state that the total group of visitors was 12 to 18 people, including Atari employees and their families.

Q Approximately how many Atari employees do you recall at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A Something in excess of half the total number because I don't believe all of the people were accompanied by their wives.

Q Approximately how many Cyan Engineering employees were present at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I believe all of the employees were both at the facilities tour and at the picnic. And there were a total of eight or nine employees.

Q Approximately how many wives or spouses of Cyan employees were present at the Cyan Engineering open house, and if the number differs with respect to the facilities or picnic, please tell me.

MR. KATZ? Objection to the question as indefinite.

A As I stated before, I don't specifically recall any Cyan employees' wives or families being present in the facility during the tour. And I have specific recollection of two wives and one child. And I'm sure there were others, but I don't remember how many others.

That's two wives and one child in addition to my own wife and child.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q What was the approximate age of the other child that you specifically recall being present?

A Approximately my daughter's age, four or five, because they were playing together.

Q Do you recall the child's name?

GEIGED LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

A No, I do not.

Q Do you recall who the child belonged to?

A I believe it was Larry Emmons' child,

Q You say that you believe it was Larry Emmons' child.

I am curious about the extent of your recollection. Are you

mairly certain?

MR. KATZ: Object to the question for lack of found-dation.

A I do not have specific recollection whose child it was. However, I do recall that Larry Emmons had children about my daughter's age. And it is an assumption on my part that that's who the child was.

MR. KATZ: I would like to state a general objection here to this general line of questioning.

I think you are belaboring the details that can't possibly be considered relevant to any issue in this case. In view of the time problems where we are having difficulty enough trying to complete this deposition, I would request that you move into or through or over areas of inquiry.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q So how many total people would that be at the Cyan Engineering open house?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite.

MR. LEACH: Let the record reflect that Mr. Welsh whispered the objection to Mr. Katz before he made the

objection.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you understand the question?

A Yes, I do. During the tour of the Cyan facilities there were approximately 12 to 18 personnel in attendance who were Atari employees or their families.

In addition there were some eight or nine Cyan Engineering personnel present.

All of those people were present at the picnic with the addition of some wives and children. And the exact number I do not recall. Somewhere between three and six I would estimate. That would be three or six excluding my own family, which would be an additional two. So make that five to eight.

Q Additional people present at the picnic?

A Yes.

Q If there were 12 to 18 personnel from Atari at the tour plus eight or nine Cyan personnel at the tour of the Cyan Engineering facilities, that occurred at the Cyan Engineering open house, what is the total number of people who were present at the Cyan Engineering open house at the tour of the acilities?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as being totally irrelevant.

A I don't recall the specific number of people that were at the facilities tour. I have given you an estimate of

6

19

17

21

23

24

25

my best recollection of those events. And the total of 12 to 18 plus eight or nine is 20 to 29.

BY MR. LEACH:

- Q Is your recollection of those events hazy?
- A Yes.
- Q I refer you to Exhibit 24, paragraph 13, in which you indicated that there were approximately 20 people present to view the Cyan Engineering facilites.

Is that number correct or incorrect?

A It says approximately 20. Approximately 20 is correct to the best of my recollection.

Based on the addition we did here, we came up to a number of 20 to 29, which is approximately 20. On the low end.

- Q *So we can clarify that then as to include the range between 20 and 29, is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was Joel Miller present at the Cyan Engineering open house?
 - A I don't recall.
- Q Do you recall testifying earlier that you were specifically warned about confidentiality and secrecy by Steve Mayer?
 - A Yes.
- Q Is that the event that is referred to in paragraph seven, appearing on page three of Exhibit 14?

A No. The event referred to in paragraph seven would have been relating to conveying basic company policy to me as a new employee, which would have been a separate event from a discussion of confidentiality and secrecy as relating to the tour and the open house.

The subject of confidentiality and proprietary information was an ongoing concern within the company and was periodically discussed and reinforced by both Steve Mayer and Larry Emmons.

Q Do you recall a telephone conversation that you and I had earlier in which you told me at the time that you did not remember one way or the other about anything being said about confidentiality with regard to the Cyan Engineering open house?

A No, I don't recall the specifics of that conversation, no.

Q Do you recall saying to me in word or substance that you did not recall one way or the other about anything being said with regard to confidentiality at the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I don't recall.

Q Is it your testimony that it is incorrect, that nothing was said one way or the other regarding the confidentiality of the Cyan Engineering open house?

MR. KATZ: Can I have that question read back, please?

 MR. LEACH: Let me withdraw that question.
BY MR. LEACH:

Q Do you now disagree with the statement that to your recollection nothing was said one way or the other about the confidentiality or secrecy with regard to the Cyan Engineering open house?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as lacking foundation and assuming something which is contrary to what he had already testified to and putting a new question to this witness to agree or disagree with.

I think it is clearly an improper question. The witness never stated that he actually said that to you.

A Let me characterize the environment of secrecy and confidentiality and relate it to these issues.

Basically, immediately upon being employed by Cyan Engineering I was advised that all work done there was confidential in nature and was not to be divulged outside of the immediate premises and the employees of the company.

That attitude was prevalent in all the work that was one there. The drawings were kept in locked cabinets. There were burglar alarms that were activated during nonworking hours. All the information was very carefully guarded and protected

There were periodic discussions about the requirements for confidentiality and secrecy. There were discussions prior

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the open house dealing with the fact that there would be people from Atari there, that the Atari employees were privy to the information and to the work being done at Cyan Engineering and were aware of the policy of confidentiality that existed at a corporate level within Atari as well as Cyan Engineering.

I can't state from specific recollection that there was an event during which it was specifically stated to all employees that we were having visitors and that we were to be reminded that all of this information was confidential.

I guess an accurate characterization to my recollection would be that it was an ongoing policy which was periodically reinforced, which I recall being reinforced in the neighborhood of time of the tour with regard to the fact that there would be nonemployees there, the families of Atari members, and that for that reason we should be cautious about what information was disseminated.

BY MR. LEACH:

Do you recall our telephone conversation which took place on or about June 25 of this year?

I don't recall the specific date of it.

Do you remember anything to the contrary, that it was Q not June 25?

No.

Do you recall that it was in the latter part of June?

GEIGER GORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

25

I don't recall the specific date or period in time. That sounds like a reasonable time period.

Do you agree that our telephone conversation was approximately 2-1/2 months ago?

Yes. A

How long ago was the Cyan Engineering open house? Q

Just in excess of seven years. A

Does it seem strange to you that you have difficulty Q remembering a telephone conversation 2-1/2 months ago yet can remember things that you were told seven years ago?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as calling for a hypothetical answer to questions, argumentative because ' "Does it seem strange?"

I think that is a very improper question.

I will withdraw the question. MR. LEACH:

BY MR. LEACH:

You indicated earlier that the porch that is drawn in Exhibit 25 had windows on it, is that correct?

To the best of my recollection, yes. A

Were the offices of Cyan Engineering air-conditioned? Q

I believe so, yes. A

Were the doors to the porch ever left open during the course of the day to your recollection?

Any time during the period I was employed there?

Q Yes.

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

23

During the day when people were active, working in A the area in --

At any time.

I don't have a specific recollection one way or the other. But I would assume that they were left open on cool days -- windows would be open for ventilation if the air-conditioner wasn't needed.

Would it be possible standing outside the porch area to see into the area marked lab one through the windows if the doors were open to the porch?

MR. KATZ: Could I hear that question again? (Question read back.)

Outside of the porch area?

BY MR. LEACH:

Yes.

Not if you were standing on the ground because the offices were not on ground level.

What level were the offices on?

I don't recall specifically. I believe it was either the second or third floor of the building.

Did Cyan Engineering have an entire floor of the Q building?

No. We only occupied the small set of rooms you see in the drawing.

Do you recall someone who was named Bob who was at

the Cyan Engineering open house?

A I remember a Cyan employee named Bob who was -- I believe that was the name of the technician I referred to who was the student.

Q Do you recall that after our telephone conversation on June 25 that I called you back on the morning of June 26?

A I don't remember the specific date, but we did have more than one conversation on the phone.

Q Do you recall that after we had talked on the telephone one evening that I called you back the following morning?

A I don't specifically recall that it was the next morning, but I recall that it was within several days at the most.

Q Do you recall that I asked you if you would prepare a supplemental affidavit or declaration to be filed in the patent office setting forth some additional testimony that I desired to have before the patent and trademark office?

A I remember that you had some specific questions you wanted to have me answer. I don't remember a specific request for an affidavit.

Q Do you recall telling me any conditions that would have to be met before you would meet with us for preparation of an affidavit or declaration?

A I believe in our last conversation I stated that agreement by Mr. Schnayer's firm and your firm and myself as

to a date would be required or a subpoena.

Do you recall telling us that you did not wish to discuss the matter any further unless one of Bally's attorneys was present?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading.

Yes. I recall that.

BY MR. LEACH:

Do you also recall that you indicated that you would prefer to proceed with a deposition rather than proceed informally with the preparation of an affidavit or declaration?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as leading.

Would you repeat the question, please. A (Question read back.)

No, I don't recall that I would have preferredua deposition. Either a deposition or an informal session would have been acceptable as long as a Bally representative -let me clarify that. Representative of Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh had been represented at that informal session. BY MR. LEACH:

You mentioned a consulting arrangement earlier. Do you have a consulting arrangement with Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh?

A

You are being paid on an hourly basis for your time in connection with that arrangement, are you not?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

9

D

21

22

3

24

25

I hand you Exhibits 9, 10, 11, and 13. Q

Do these exhibits relate to your charges for your consulting services in connection with the arrangement you have with the Fitch, Even firm?

Yes. A

What is the total amount that you have billed Fitch, Even for to date?

I don't know the exact amount. It's in the neighborhood of 2200 to \$2300.

What is the hourly rate that you are billing your time on?

\$75 an hour.

Have you been billing your time at \$75 an hour throughout the period with which you have been consulting with Fitch, Even?

A No.

With what other rate have you been charging during the course of your consulting arrangement with Fitch, Even?

I had been billing at a \$50 an hour rate until I made the move from New Jersey to here in State College.

What was the date approximately of that move? Q

The first week in April. A

Is your arrangement with the \$75 an hour rate to be continued indefinitely, or is that just a rate for a special

period of time? 1 MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as alternative. 2 I haven't given any thought as to the duration of the 3 rate. Have no plans of changing that. 4 BY MR. LEACH: 5 Do you charge a different rate depending on what you are doing? 7 No. A 8 MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite. 9 BY MR. LEACH: 10 Are you charging your consulting rate during the time 11 that you spend here today? 12 Yes. Α 13 When did you first have occasion to speak with 14 Mr. Novak? 15 Approximately 2-1/2 to 3 weeks ago. A 16 Do you remember the date by any chance? Q 17 No, I don't. Α Did he call you or did you call him? 18 Q 19 I called him. How did you get Mr. Novak's name and/or telephone A 20 Was it given to you by someone else? Q 21 number? 22 Who gave you Mr. Novak's name and telephone number? A 23

GEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

Q

A

Mr. Schnayer.

24

25

Q What did Mr. Schnayer say to you in words or substance on the occasion which he gave you Mr. Novak's name and telephone number?

MR. NOVAK: I am wondering, what are you getting at here?

MR. LEACH: Mr. Katz had stated earlier that Mr. Cox was a third-party witness. All I want to do is establish what-ever facts exist between your representation of Mr. Cox and the attorneys who are representing Bally Manufacturing Corporation.

MR. WELSH: Could you speak up? I am having a little trouble hearing you.

A The events that transpired were that I am fairly new in the area. I've lived here approximately five months, do not have a local attorney.

An attorney was referred to me by some of the people that I work with. Mr. Schnayer suggested that it would be wise to check if that attorney had the necessary background in depositions, subpoenas, and law of this sort to be able to adequately represent me at these proceedings.

He checked into that individual's background and found that he did not have an adequate background to handle those transactions and gave me several names of attorneys in the area that did have the necessary background.

I contacted one of those attorneys and retained him to represent me in this matter. He had scheduled a fishing

trip to Canada with all the other members of his firm and had left the day before the subpoena arrived calling for a deposition on the 1st of September.

I had scheduled a business trip overseas on that day and could not contact the attorney I had retained at that point or any of his other associates to affect a delay in this deposition.

So I went back to Mr. Schnayer and asked for some additional names of attorneys that were experienced in proceedings of this nature in the area, at which time he gave me Mr. Novak's name.

And I got in touch with Mr. Novak, and we discussed the matter. And I retained him

BY MR. LEACH:

- Are you paying Mr. Novak's fees, or is someone else paying them?
 - I am paying them. A
 - Are you being reimbursed for Mr. Novak's fees? Q
 - Yes, I am. A
 - By who? Q
 - By Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh. Α
- So it is fair to say that indirectly Fitch, Even, Tabin, Flannery & Welsh is indirectly paying Mr. Novak's fees?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as to whether

that is a fair characterization.

The witness isn't required to characterize his own 1 testimony. He has already stated the relationship. 2 MR. NOVAK: I think that would be for the examiner 3 to decide that. A Mr. Novak is here as my attorney representing me 5 and no other individual at this proceeding. And I will be paying his fees whether or not I am recompensed by any other 7 individuals. He is representing me solely in this matter. 8 BY MR. LEACH: Just so we don't confuse the record, you are being 10 reimbursed by Fitch, Even for his fees, is that correct? 11 I stated that, yes. 12 During the luncheon recess, did you have lunch with Q 13 Mr. Katz and Mr. Welsh? 14 And Mr. Novak, yes. 15 Did you discuss any matters relating to the deposi-16 tion today? We had some superficial discussion, about the pro-17 18 ceedings this morning. MR. LEACH: Why don't we take a short recess at this 19 20 point? 21 (Recess.) 22

AFTER RECESS

BY MR. LEACH:

23

24

25

I show you again Exhibit 8 with your notes on it that Q

LEIGER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE, 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2108

3

5

6 7

8

Ю

12

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

 23 24

25

I believe indicate that you were going to examine the software listing and look for the program response to stuck switches and multiple closures.

So far am I right?

Α Yes.

Q. Then you received documents from Mr. Schnayer, is that correct?

I'm not certain as to the timing of what would have preceded the other. I may have received the documents before I was requested to look into those conditions.

So at some point in time you received documents from Mr. Schnayer.

I place before you the exhibits that we have previously marked as 22, 21, 20, 16, 15, 17, 18, and 19, and ask you to tell me what was the first thing you did when you started analyzing the program looking for a program response to stuck switches or multiple closures?

The first thing I did was refresh my memory on how the software operated by using Exhibits 16 and 20 and 15.

I reviewed the software program first, understanding how the patches contained in Exhibit 16 fit into Exhibit 20, which resulted in Exhibits 19, 18, and 16, which essentially gave me a road map as to the way the modifications of Exhibit 16 were incorporated into Exhibit 20.

You produced Exhibit 17, 18, and 19 during the course Q

of your analysis, did you not?

Yes. A

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are Exhibits 17, 18, and 19 necessary to transform the program listing that is listed in Exhibit 20 to the version that incorporates the modifications contained in Exhibit 16?

MR. KATZ: Objection to the question as indefinite.

Necessary to whom? There is no antecedent for necessary.

The terminology is somewhat vague, but I use them only in the sense of clarifying my understanding of how those changes were incorporated within Exhibit 20.

They are not necessary. They are not an integral part of any of those documents, but only serve to organize my thoughts as I was evaluating the software structure. BY MR. LEACH:

Referring to Exhibit 17, what did you mean to indicate by the heading patches by program statement order?

A I was trying to put those changes into numerical sequence as they were implemented within Exhibit 20 so that I could follow them with a particular sequence order.

Are the changes not in numerical sequence in Exhibit 16?

No, they are not.

Referring to Exhibit 18, what did you intend to indicate by the heading patch allocation summary?

SER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE. 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG. PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

 A This is a basic cross-reference between the changes in Exhibit 20 that are shown hand-written in and those instructions in Exhibit 16 in the separate document.

In Exhibit 16 there are certain changes which were incorporated which were later deleted or changed. The information in Exhibit 16 was developed in chronological fashion during the process of debugging and testing the pinball game. And these changes were made as problems or modifications were found necessary to the basics of our program.so that they have would address problems in a chronological sequential order.

And sometimes changes to those were necessitated based on discovery of other problems at a later time.

So Exhibit 18 was a way to translate this chronological sequence of Exhibit 16 into a numerical sequence and fit that into Exhibit 20 so I could understand the final version of the changes with all corrections in them resulting from Exhibit 16.

Q Referring to Exhibit 19, what did you intend to indicate by the title or heading patch area allocation?

A The basic program as originally written and shown in Exhibit 20 before the hand-written modifications were made occupy a certain portion of the memory of the Intellec machine.

At the end of that memory area, there was additional memory available which was used to generate patches or corrections to the program.

So additional statements were put in a sequenced order in Exhibit 19 for me to clarify the use of that memory space, following the basic program memory area defined by Exhibit 20

Q Exhibit 20 contains notations that were apparently made prior to the time that the document was photocopied.

Do you recognize the handwriting of those changes?

- A Yes. That's my handwriting.
- Q Were those notations made to the document which we have labeled as Exhibit 20 prior to the time that you received the document from Mr. Schnayer?
 - A Yes.

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q On the original copy of Exhibit 20 there are also some pencil notations.

When were those pencil notations made?

- A Those were made during the time I was analyzing the program and evaluating its performance in February and March of this year.
 - Q That is February and March of 1981?
 - A Yes.
- Q Referring to Exhibit 16, are there any changes in the program of Exhibit 20 which had already been indicated on the document before you received it from Mr. Schnayer which come from a position below line 26 on Exhibit 16?
 - A Would you repeat the question, please.

(Question read back.)

A Yes.

BY MR. LEACH:

Q Earlier you testified that the changes from Exhibit 16 contained in lines 12 through 25 had not been previously indicated on Exhibit 20, is that correct?

A No. What I stated was that we identified two areas,
A and B on Exhibit 20, and showed where those changes were
reflected in Exhibit 16.

In fact those changes are made on Exhibit 20 in pencil and were made after I received the document. However, those same changes are reflected on Exhibit 20 and were made on that document prior to my receipt of it. And those changes are on the first page under the area which has a taped piece of paper on it.

- Q You are going to circle it and mark it as C, I hope?
- A Yes. (Marking.)
- Q I note in your pencil notation you have penciled in on Exhibit 20 a notation that certain statements within the area that you have just indicated as area C were not on the patch sheet, is that correct?

MR. KATZ: Were not on the what?

MR. LEACH: Patch sheet.

A Yes. Let me explain the chronology of the events.

The basic document of Exhibit 20 without the penciled

25 T

7

18

30

21

22

23

24

notations was created during the time I was employed at Cyan Engineering. Prior to the time I left -- prior to August of 1974, those changes shown in area C were penciled in after the program had been tested and debugged with the inclusion of the patches shown in Exhibit 16.

What is shown in Exhibit C is -MR. GOLDENBERG: You mean area C.

A What is shown in area C is a different set of instructions performing the same function as that shown in part of area A.

BY MR. LEACH:

•

)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Wasn't the patch log of Exhibit 16 supposed to represent or reflect the exact instructions that are placed into the program as it is modified?

A Yes. And that was the case. When the hand-written notations shown in area C were generated, the patches shown in area -- in Exhibit 16 were slightly modified using a more optimum mix of instructions to reduce the amount of memory required to affect the same function.

What stshown in all of the hand-written notations, whether they be in pencil or in Xerox from, in Exhibit 21 were not those insutructions which were put into the computer. They are a summary of those instructions as extracted from Exhibit 16, and they were inserted at those points in Exhibit 20 so that it was convenient to see the flow of all of

the instructions in sequence in the program.

When you say they were inserted, you mean that you inserted them during the course of your analysis?

I inserted them during the period of developing the software program in 1974.

Including the pencil notations?

The pencil notations were made in February and March of this year as I was reviewing the program and trying to understand it again after not seeing it for seven years.

MR. KATZ: Are we going to get copies now of the documents that were marked as exhibits here?

MR. LEACH: I will see if we can arrange to have some one copy them, and if you have time to wait around --

MR. KATZ: Do you know how long it will take?

I will have to ask. MR. LEACH:

MR. GOLDENBERG: Are all the exhibits here?

MR. KATZ: Maybe what we can do is make arrangements

for --

}

}

.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEACH: Maybe these people will send you a copy.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I am troubled by that.

MR. KATZ: What?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Either leaving them here --

MR. NOVAK: Do you trust Mr. Williams to take custody of those? He is the attorney here. He can make copies and mail them, if that would be satisfactory. Or do you want them

25

GETTER & LORIA REPORTING SERVICE. 1000 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG, PA. 17101 PHONE (717) 234-2109

today?

ŀ

•

3

1

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KATZ: No. Is that okay, Don?

MR. WELSH: Yes. I think if they can be made today yet perhaps --

MR. KATZ: And they can send them out, and we can get them probably by the beginning of next week we'll have them.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I think that is quite a burden to put on a local counselor's office.

MR. WELSH: Well, we are suffering from previous history.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I don't think there is a great deal of previous history.

MR. WELSH: Then we will wait and get them now.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Okay. You wait. I'll go to the airport.

MR. KATZ: The problem I have here is as we already noted, we have been requesting for at least the last three weeks for permission to see the El Toro physical exhibit which was marked as an exhibit by Gottlieb several years ago at the Atari depositions, and we can't even find anybody that will tell us who has it or where it is, in the deposition that Williams took of Mr. Winter.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Mr. Katz, this is unnecessary now. If you want to taper the record with that kind of foolishness, you go ahead and do it, but I don't have to sit and listen to

it.

MR. LEACH: Let's go off the record.

MR. KATZ: No. I don't want to go off the record.

MR. LEACH: Then you can pay for this part of the transcript.

MR. KATZ: Okay. I'll pay for it.

I say that this is one of the problems we have had. You had Mr. Winter make a drawing during his deposition. It was marked as an exhibit. We were to get a copy of it. You took custody of it, and we never got it.

When we asked for it, we were told by your associate that it was lost.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I don't know that I took custody of it. I don't know that at all.

MR. KATZ: You took custody of it, and it was lost. And we have not been able to get a copy.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I hear what you say. I trust you hear what I say, although I doubt that.

MR. KATZ: So I would like to have the witness retain custody of it or perhaps the court reporter could take it.

MR. GOLDENBERG: If you want to leave them with Mr. Novak and charge Mr. Novak with the responsibility of making copies and forwarding them to us, I certainly accept that.

(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at 3:55 p.m.

25

24

3

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 6

INDEX TO WITNESSES

Direct

Gregory Cox

4

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

	·	
		Identified
	No. 1 - Subpoena	4
	No. 2 - Subpoena	5
1	No. 3 - Subpoena	5
	No. 4 - Notice of Deposition	6
ı	No. 5 - Notes	8
2	No. 6 - Notes	8
3	No. 7 - Notes	8
4	No. 8 - Notes	9
5	No. 9 - Notes	9
16	No. 10 - Bill	10
17	No. 11 - Bill	10
18	No. 12 - Letter	10
19	No. 13 - Bill	11
20	No. 14 - Declaration	42
21	No. 15 - Manual	44
22	No. 16 - Patch log	45
23	No. 17 - Notes	- 45 45
24	No. 18 - Notes	45 45
25	No. 19 - Notes	٦)

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

No.	20 - Program	Identified 45
No.	21 - Schematic	59
No.	22-A through 22-K - Flow Chart	60
No.	23 - Affidavit	61
No.	24 - Affidavit	61
No.	25 - Floor Plan	72

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA: SS. COUNTY OF CENTRE

I, Laurie V. Blackmon, a Reporter-Notary Public authorized to administer oaths and take depositions in the trial of causes, and having an office in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that the foregoing is the testimony of GREGORY COX

at the offices of Miller, Kistler & taken by Defendants Campbell, Inc., 1500'S. Atherton St., State College, PA

I further certify that before the taking of said deposition, the witness was duly sworn; that the questions and answers were taken down in stenotypy by the said Reporter-Notary Public, approved and agreed to, and afterwards reduced to typewriting or print under the direction of said Reporter.

I further certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within deposition, and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my hand this 14th day of September

My commission expires

January 28, 1985

24

23

1

)

1

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25